Attorney Docket No. 49674 (72024)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS Proost, et al.

EXAMINER:

Roark, J. H.

U.S.S.N.:

09/537,858

GEOUP:

1644

FILED:

March 28, 2000

Conf. No.

5522

FOR:

AMINO-TERMINALLY TRUNCATED RANTES AS CHEMOKINE

ANTAGONISTS

Box AF Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE: An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior application and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131. at 53167.

NOTE: There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be signed: or (2) identify the appealed claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed March 22, 2002, finally rejecting claims 15-23.

The item(s) checked below are appropriate:

1. STATUS OF APPLICANT

This application is on behalf of

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))

Thereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service.

EL 933049139 US in an envelope addressed to

with sufficient postage as Express Mail Label No.

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

FACSIMILE

the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature

| | | [X] | other than a small entire a small entity. | ty. | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2. | FEE FOR FILING NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| | Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.17(b), the fee for filing the Appeal Brief is: | | | | | | | |
| | | [] | small entity | \$160.0 | 00 | | | |
| | | [X] | other than a small entit | ty \$320.0 | 00 | | | |
| | Notice | e of Appe | eal fee due \$320.0 | 0 | | | | |
| 3. | EXTENSION OF TERM | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | The time periods set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.191 are subject to the provision of § 1.136 for patent applications. 1.191(d). (But see 37 C.F.R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. 1.550(c) for of time in reexamination proceedings). | | | | | | | |
| | (complete (a) or (b), as applicable) | | | | | | | |
| | The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply. | | | | | | | |
| | (a) [X] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F. 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below: | | | | | | | |
| | | Extens (month) [] [X] [] | | Fee for other the small entity \$110.00 \$400.00 \$920.00 \$1,440.00 | Fee for small entit \$55.00 \$200.00 \$460.00 \$72000 | у | | |
| | Fee \$ 400.00 | | | | | | | |
| If an ad | lditional | extensio | on of time is required, ple | ease consider this | a petition therefor. | | | |
| | | | (check and comple | ete the next item, | if applicable) | | | |
| | (a) | - | An extension ford therefor of \$all months of extension n | | secured, and the fee he total fee due for the | | | |
| Extension fee due with this request \$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | or | | | | |
| | (b) | ı j | Applicant believes that | no extension of | term is required. Ho | wever, this conditional | | |

is softworthing that the constitution of the constitution \mathcal{L}_{i}

overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

| 4. | TOTAL FEE DUE | | | | | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The to | tal fee due is: | | | | | | |
| | Notice of Appeal fee Extension fee (if any) | \$ 320.00 \$ 400.00 | | | | | |
| | TOTAL FEE DUE \$ | \$ 720.00 | | | | | |
| 5. | FEE PAYMENT | | | | | | |
| | | in the sum of \$ 720.00 the sum of \$ al is attached. | | | | | |
| 6. | FEE DEFICIENCY | | | | | | |
| NOTE: | If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33. [X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Account No04-1105 | | | | | | |
| | AND/OR | | | | | | |
| | [X] If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No04-1105 | | | | | | |
| | | Diam. Consider Signature of Practitioner | | | | | |
| Reg. N | Io. 45,281 | Dianne Rees (type or print name of practitioner) | | | | | |
| Tel. N | o. (617) 439-4444 | EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. Box 9169 P.O. Address | | | | | |
| Custor | mer No. 21874 | Boston, Massachusetts 02209 | | | | | |